



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

	•				
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/039,596	12/31/2001	Howard S. David	42390.P13873	2205	
8791	7590 03/24/2004		EXAM	INER	
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN 12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD, SEVENTH FLOOR			LI, ZHUO H		
			ARTINET	DADED AND OUT	
LOS ANGE	ELES, CA 90025		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2186	6	
			DATE MAILED: 03/24/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

SC

,					
		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/039,596	DAVID, HOWARD S.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Zhuo H Li	2186		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with th	ne correspondence address		
Period fo	or Reply				
THE - External after - If the - If NO - Failur	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing apparent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS and the application to become ABAND	be timely filed days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 F	December 2003			
,	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>31 December 2003</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
ت. (~	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>L</i>				
	·		7		
Dispositi	on of Claims				
	Claim(s) 1,3-9,11 and 12 is/are pending in the				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.			
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
·	Claim(s) <u>1,3-9,11 and 12</u> is/are rejected.				
-	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.			
Annlicati	on Papers				
Applicati	•				
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	ar	•		
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed onis/are: a)acc		he Examiner		
9)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acc	epted or b) objected to by the			
9)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
9) 10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. tion is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
9) 10) 11)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correc The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. tion is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
9) 10) 11)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. tion is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
9)□ 10)□ 11)□ Priority u	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	repted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Ition is required if the drawing(s) is examiner. Note the attached Office.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). sobjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). fice Action or form PTO-152.		
9)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 135 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:	repted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Ition is required if the drawing(s) is examiner. Note the attached Office priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). sobjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). fice Action or form PTO-152.		
9)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1. Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority document	repted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Ition is required if the drawing(s) is examiner. Note the attached Office priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 as have been received.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). fice Action or form PTO-152. 9(a)-(d) or (f).		
9)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Extender 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority document 2 Certified copies of the priority document	repted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. It is required if the drawing(s) is examiner. Note the attached Office priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 is have been received.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). sobjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). fice Action or form PTO-152. 9(a)-(d) or (f).		
9)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1. Some 1. Some 1. None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document 2.	repted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Ition is required if the drawing(s) is examiner. Note the attached Office priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 as have been received. It is have been received in Applicated to be a priority documents have been received.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). sobjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). fice Action or form PTO-152. 9(a)-(d) or (f).		
9)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicate act any not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Extender 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority document 2 Certified copies of the priority document 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea	repted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Ition is required if the drawing(s) is examiner. Note the attached Office priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 is have been received. It is have been received in Applicately documents have been received un (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). fice Action or form PTO-152. 9(a)-(d) or (f). cation No eived in this National Stage		
9)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1. Some 1. Some 1. None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document 2.	repted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Ition is required if the drawing(s) is examiner. Note the attached Office priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 is have been received. It is have been received in Applicately documents have been received un (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). fice Action or form PTO-152. 9(a)-(d) or (f). cation No eived in this National Stage		
9)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicate act any not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Extender 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority document 2 Certified copies of the priority document 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea	repted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Ition is required if the drawing(s) is examiner. Note the attached Office priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 is have been received. It is have been received in Applicately documents have been received un (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). fice Action or form PTO-152. 9(a)-(d) or (f). cation No eived in this National Stage		
9)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplication and request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Extender 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority document 2 Certified copies of the priority document 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea see the attached detailed Office action for a list	repted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Ition is required if the drawing(s) is examiner. Note the attached Office priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 is have been received. It is have been received in Applicately documents have been received un (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). fice Action or form PTO-152. 9(a)-(d) or (f). cation No eived in this National Stage		
9)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplication and request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Extender 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burease the attached detailed Office action for a list at the certified copies of the References Cited (PTO-892)	repted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Ition is required if the drawing(s) is examiner. Note the attached Office priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 is have been received. Its have been received in Applicate the documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies not received.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). fice Action or form PTO-152. 9(a)-(d) or (f). cation No eived in this National Stage eived.		
9)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicated any not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Extender 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority document 2 Certified copies of the priority document 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureasee the attached detailed Office action for a list attached.	repted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Ition is required if the drawing(s) is examiner. Note the attached Office priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 as have been received. It is have been received in Applicately documents have been received u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies not received. 4) Interview Summare Paper No(s)/Marents in a position of the certified copies.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). fice Action or form PTO-152. 9(a)-(d) or (f). cation No eived in this National Stage eived.		

Art Unit: 2186

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. This Office action is in response to the amendment filed on December 31, 2003 (Paper No. 5).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 3-9 and 11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stracovsky et al. (US PAT. 6,216,178 hereinafter Stracovsky) in view of Saulsbury et al. (US PAT. 6,128,702).

Regarding claim 1, Stracovsky discloses a memory controller, i.e., universal controller (104, figure 1B), comprising an array of tag address storage location, i.e., resource tag (114, figure 1B), and a command sequencer and serializer unit, i.e. command sequencer (116, figure 1B), coupled to the array of tag address storage locations further determent the resources state of and location of the requested data stored in shared memory (108, figure 1B) and (col. 7 line 24 through col. 8 line 2 and col. 10 line 46 through col. 11 line 15), the command sequencer and serializer unit to control a data in a memory module, i.e., shared memory (108, figure 1B) via the

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/039,596

Art Unit: 2186

memory command bus (220, figure 1B), command sequencer and serializer unit to cause a current line of data to be written from the command sequencer and serializer unit to the memory module (col. 6 lines 21-49, col. 11 line 22 through col. 12 line 19 and col. 13 lines 8-47). Stracovsky differs from the claimed invention in not specifically teaches a data cache located on the memory module and the command sequencer and serializer unit to cause a previous line of data to be evicted out of the data cache to an eviction buffer located on the memory module. However, Saulsbury teaches in the computer system (100, figure 1) comprising a memory controller, i.e. CPU (102) and a system memory (103, figure 1) wherein the system memory comprising a plurality of memory block (104, figure), and each memory block comprising data cache bank (122, figure 1) and main memory bank (118, figure 1), in addition, Saulsbury teaches the memory controller is able to accessing the data from the data cache memory via the primary data cache bank logic (150, figure 2) which the memory accessing operation comprising a command to cause a previous line of data, i.e., victim data cache line, to be evicted out of the data cache an eviction buffer, i.e., victim data cache, located on the memory module (col. 11 line 47 through col. 12 line 6 and col. 12 line 48 through col. 13 line 66). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the computer system Stracovsky in having a data cache located on each of memory bank and the command sequencer and serializer unit to cause a previous line of data to be evicted out of the data cache to an eviction buffer located on the memory module, as per teaching of Saulsbury, because it reduces the miss rate and increase the access speed of in the memory operation.

Regarding claim 3, Saulsbury discloses the command sequencer and serializer to deliver a write-back command to the data cache associated with the memory module, the write back

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/039,596

Art Unit: 2186

command to cause the previous line of data stored in the eviction buffer to be written out to a memory module memory device (col. 12 line 48 through col. 13 line 66 and col. 14 lines 37-64).

Regarding claim 4, Saulsbury discloses the write-back command including way information and bank address information (col. 12 line 48 through col. 13 line 66 and col. 14 lines 37-64).

Regarding claim 5, Stracovsky discloses a memory module, i.e., shared memory (108, figure 1B) comprising at least one memory device (device type 1 – device type N, figure 1C), and a memory controller, i.e., universal controller (104, figure 1B) coupled to the shared memory via the memory bus (220, figure 1B), and the memory controller further generating the requested data and command from the processor (102, figure 1B) to the memory device (col. 6 lines 21-49, col. 11 line 22 through col. 12 line 19 and col. 13 lines 8-47), the memory controller including an array of tag address storage location, i.e., resource tag (114, figure 4) and (col. 7 line 24 through col. 8 line 2 and col. 10 line 46 through col. 11 line 15). Stracovsky differs from the claimed invention in not specifically teaches a data cache coupled to the memory device, the memory controller to write a current line of data to the data cache, the memory controller to cause a previous line of data to be evicted out of the data cache to an eviction buffer located on the memory modules. However, Saulsbury a discloses in the computer system (100, figure 1) comprising a memory controller, i.e. CPU (102) and a system memory (103, figure 1) wherein the system memory comprising a plurality of memory block (104, figure), and each memory block comprising data cache bank (122, figure 1) and main memory bank (118, figure 1), in addition, Saulsbury teaches the memory controller is able to accessing the data from the data cache memory via the primary data cache bank logic (150, figure 2) which the memory accessing

Art Unit: 2186

operation comprising a command to cause a previous line of data, i.e., victim data cache line, to be evicted out of the data cache an eviction buffer, i.e., victim data cache, located on the memory module (col. 11 line 47 through col. 12 line 6 and col. 12 line 48 through col. 13 line 66).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the computer system Stracovsky in having a data cache located on each of memory bank and the command sequencer and serializer unit to cause a previous line of data to be evicted out of the data cache to an eviction buffer located on the memory module, as per teaching of Saulsbury, because it reduces the miss rate and increase the access speed of in the memory operation.

Regarding claim 6, Saulsbury discloses the memory controller to further instruct the data cache to evict a previous line of data from the data cache into an eviction buffer (col. 11 line 47 through col. 12 line 6 and col. 12 line 48 through col. 13 line 66).

Regarding claim 7, Saulsbury discloses the memory module to receive a write-back command that generated by the memory controller, the write-back command to cause the previous line of data to be written out of the eviction buffer to the memory device (col. 12 line 48 through col. 13 line 66 and col. 14 lines 37-64).

Regarding claim 8, Saulsbury discloses the write-back command including way information and bank address information (col. 12 line 48 through col. 13 line 66 and col. 14 lines 37-64).

Regarding claim 9, Stracovsky discloses a system (100, figure 1B) comprising a processor (102, figure 1B), a memory controller, i.e. universal controller (104, figure 1B) coupled to the processor via the system bus (106, figure 1B), the memory controller including an

Application/Control Number: 10/039,596 Page 6

Art Unit: 2186

array of tag address storage location, i.e., resource tags (114, figure 1B) and a command sequencer and serializer unit, i.e., command sequencer (116, figure 1B) coupled to the array of tag to determent the resources state of and location of the requested data stored in shared memory (108, figure 1B) and (col. 7 line 24 through col. 8 line 2 and col. 10 line 46 through col. 11 line 15), and a memory module (108, figure 1B) coupled to the memory controller via a memory bus (220, figure 1B), the memory module including at least one memory device (device type 1 – device type N, figure 1C). Stracovsky differs from the claimed invention in not specifically teaches a data cache coupled to the memory device, the data cache controlled by a plurality of commands delivered by the memory controller, the memory controller writing a current line of data to the data cache, the memory controller to further instruct the data cache to evict a previous line of data from the data cache into an eviction buffer. However, Saulsbury teaches such as rejected as the same in claims 1 and 5. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the computer system of Stracovsky in having a data cache coupled to the memory device, the data cache controlled by a plurality of commands delivered by the memory controller, the memory controller writing a current line of data to the data cache, the memory controller to further instruct the data cache to evict a previous line of data from the data cache into an eviction buffer, as per teaching by the computer system of Saulsbury, because it reduces the miss rate and increase the access speed of in the memory operation.

Regarding claim 11, Saulsbury discloses the memory controller to deliver a write-back command to the data cache, the write-back command to cause the previous line to written out of

Art Unit: 2186

the eviction buffer to the memory device (col. 12 line 48 through col. 13 line 66 and col. 14 lines 37-64).

Regarding claim 12, Saulsbury discloses the write-back command including way information and back address information (col. 12 line 48 through col. 13 line 66 and col. 14 lines 37-64).

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3-9 and 11-12 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2186

Page 8

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Zhuo H Li whose telephone number is 703-305-3846. The examiner can normally be reached on Tue-Fri 9:00 a.m. to 6:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Kim can be reached on 703-305-3821. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

Zhuo H. Li

March 19, 2004

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100